			Meeting			
#	Working Group Recommendations	pg. number	Date	SFPD response	SFPD explanation	Open/Closed
R1	DPA stated that the Department is required to seek DPA input on the WG codes of		4/6/23	Administrative	DGO 3.01.04 (G) does ask for the Chief to seek input from DPA before issuing a new WG Directive,	closed
	conduct before working group activity began.			Question and	however, the Chief's WG Directive was issued in 2020 and again in 2021, before DGO 3.01 was issued.	
				Answer-not for	When the Chief issues a new Directive, DPA's feedback will be sought and the Police Commission will	
				inclusion in DGO	review and adopt at a public hearing. The codes of conduct currently fall under SF Admin Code Sec	
					67.3 which is the city's passive meeting rules and is outside of the scope of DPA or SFPD authority.	
R2	A working group member suggested that the Executive Sponsor invite a panel of		4/6/23	Administrative	The Department agreed and invited three officers (Central Station Officer Daniel TayLiong,	closed
	officers to the next meeting to discuss the current DGO, pain points, training issues and			Question and	Academy/Field Tactics Force Options Officer Patrick Woods, and Ingleside Sergeant Eric Lau) to attend	
	to discuss what they would like to see changed in the policy. The WG also asked for the			Answer-not for	the 4/20/23 meeting. The WG will invite the same officers to a future meeting as well. This	
	Department to provide a demonstration of the BWC equipment.			inclusion in DGO	suggestion will be folded into the format of all working groups moving forward.	
R3	A working group member would like to know why the Department chose the		4/20/23	Administrative	The Executive Sponsor and/or facilitator will look into this and respond to the working group at a	open
	magnetized BWC mount option vs. the "V-mount"			Question and	future meeting.	
				Answer-not for		
				inclusion in DGO		
R4	A working group member would like to know if the Axon 3 has a different buffering and		4/20/23	Administrative		open
	activation time than the Axon 2.			Question and		
				Answer-not for		
			- / /	inclusion in DGO		
R5	The working group discussed Department Bulletin (DB)23-045 during the officer Q&A.		4/20/23	Recommendation		open
	The officers find this confusing as the DB tells the officers that they "shall" activate			requires further		
	under certain circumstances, but the circumstances listed are discretionary. The			discussion		
	working group suggested to change the "shall" to "may" or "shall activate if"					
R6	During the officer panel Q&A, officer stated that they would like policy updates to focus		4/20/23	Administrative		open
	on the work they should do rather than the prohibitions and for DGOs to remain			Question and		
	"general orders". The officers stated that the DGOs have become too specific by adding			Answer-not for		
	multiple decision points to the workflow and they believe it would be more beneficial if			inclusion in DGO		
	DGOs were general and clear.					
R7	A working group member suggested documenting every time a BWC is knocked off of		4/20/23	Administrative	Officers do document this in the narrative of their report, however there is no coded checkbox in	closed
	an officer.			Question and	Crime Data Where house (CDW)	
				Answer-not for		
				inclusion in DGO		
R8	DPA provided recommended language for 10.11.01 PURPOSE: "The purpose of this	p. 1	4/20/23	Recommendation	The WG crafted the following language for SEC 10.11.01 PURPOSE:	closed
	General Order is to establish the policies and procedures governing the San Francisco			will be modified and	"The purpose of this General Order is to establish the policies and procedures governing the San	
	Police Department's ("Department's") Body Worn Camera ("BWC") program.			included in the draft	Francisco Police Department's ("Department's") Body Worn Camera ("BWC") program. BWC is an	
				DGO	effective tool the Department uses to demonstrate its commitment to transparency, to ensure the	
					accountability of its members, increase the public's trust in officers, and protect its members from	
					unjustified complaints of misconduct. The Department is also committed to using BWC because the	
					footage is important in investigations, litigation, and for training purposes."	

			Meeting			
#	Working Group Recommendations	pg. number	Date	SFPD response	SFPD explanation	Open/Closed
R9	DPA provided recommended language for 10.11.02 POLICY: "BWC is an effective tool the Department uses to demonstrate its commitment to transparency, to ensure the accountability of its members, increase the publics trust in officers, and protect its members from unjustified complaints of misconduct. The Department is also committee to using BWC because the footage is important evidence in criminal, civil and administrate investigations"	p. 1		Recommendation will be modified and	During the May 23rd WG meeting, the WG crafted the following policy statement: "BWC is an effective tool the Department uses to demonstrate its commitment to transparency, to ensure the accountability of its members, increase the public's trust in officers, and protect its members from unjustified complaints of misconduct. The Department is also committed to using BWC because the footage is important in investigations, litigation, and for training purposes. Department members assigned a body-worn camera (BWC) are required to wear and utilize the BWC consistent with this policy. Members will prior to the assignment of and deployment with a BWC, be trained on the use of the BWC equipment and this policy"	closed
R10	10.11.03 A. and C. Combine the definition of "On" and "Buffering". 10.11.05 Section G	p. 1 & 2	5/4/23	Recommendation has been included in draft DGO		closed
R11	Consider moving the following sentence listed in section 10.11.02 to section 10.11.05: "This order is not intended to describe every possible circumstance"	p. 4	5/4/23	Recommendation requires further discussion	This section will be discussed at the June 6th WG meeting.	open
R12	WG Member suggests adding "BWC should not be used in lieu of a written report"		5/4/23	Recommendation requires further discussion		open
R13	SEC 10.11.02 POLICY: WG member recommends the following language: "The Police Department shall activate the BWC consistent with this order."	p. 1	5/4/23	Recommendation will be modified and included in the draft DGO	During the May 23rd WG meeting, the WG crafted the following policy statement: "BWC is an effective tool the Department uses to demonstrate its commitment to transparency, to ensure the accountability of its members, increase the public's trust in officers, and protect its members from unjustified complaints of misconduct. The Department is also committed to using BWC because the footage is important in investigations, litigation, and for training purposes. Department members assigned a body-worn camera (BWC) are required to wear and utilize the BWC consistent with this policy. Members will prior to the assignment of and deployment with a BWC, be trained on the use of the BWC equipment and this policy"	closed
R14	A WG suggests that the Department consider changing the DGO Policy Section's title to "Principles" as this would cover the overarching beliefs or desired behaviors needed to serve the Department's goals.	p. 1	5/23/23	Administrative Question and Answer-not for inclusion in DGO	The Department's Policy Development Division will consider this suggestion.	closed
R15	SEC 10.11.04 OFFICER RESPONSIBILITIES: A WG member suggests that any sworn member that carries a Department issued firearm should be equipped with a BWC. The only exemption should be the Chief of Police. 6/6/23 UPDATE- WG suggest a task based expansion of BWC issuance.	p. 2	5/23/23	Recommendation requires further discussion	Commanders, Deputy Chiefs and Assistant Chiefs are not in the Police Officers Union(POA). This new requirement would trigger a meet and confer with a separate bargaining unit. As members holding a Captain rank are in the POA, the inclusion of Captains would not require meet and confer with another bargaining unit. The Executive Sponsor is agreeable to explore the addition of Captains and will report back to the WG members at a future meeting. Department reps agreed to find out the typical rank ceiling at other law enforcement agencies. UPDATE: the Dept. surveyed 21 department polices, 15 of which did not mention a rank restriction but did note tasks/duties linked to BWC usage. 6 polices clearly noted Captain and below. The Dept is agreeable to expanding this policy to include Captains.	closed
R16	A WG member suggests that we include language to direct officers to turn BWC off at command posts as well as in stations	p. 6	5/23/23	Recommendation requires further discussion	consider adding language to SEC 10.11.06(B)(6)(i) that includes command vans or command posts	open
R17	SEC 10.11.04: A WG member suggested to streamline language in section 10.11.04 (C)	p. 3	5/23/23	Recommendation has been included in draft DGO	The WG agreed to language in 10.11.04 (C)1-2.	closed

			Meeting			
#	Working Group Recommendations	pg. number	Date	SFPD response	SFPD explanation	Open/Closed
R18	SEC 10.11.04 (D): Should the DGO require front desk or station keepers to keep BWC in buffer mode?	p. 3	5/23/23	Recommendation will be modified and included in the draft DGO	The Department added language to 10.11.04 (D) to clarify that front desk and station keeper staff are required to activate BWC in accordance with SEC 10.11.05(A).	closed
R19	SEC 10.11.04(E)(2): Include exceptions relating to training conducted by Training Division	3		Recommendation has been included in draft DGO		closed
R20	SEC 10.11.04(E)(2): Review the list of exceptions for officers whose primary duties are investigative. Should this list refer to DGO 5.08?	p. 3		Recommendation will be modified and included in the draft DGO	SEC 10.11.04(E)(2)(d) has been modified to only include members assigned to units whose primary duties are administrative and where they are required to wear a uniform.	closed
R21	SEC 10.11.05 - Consider using the following language (pulled from the first draft of the Policy Section): "Officers are expected to follow departmental policy and procedure, utilizing ethical and legal discretion as well as professional judgment when activating and deactivating the BWC."	p. 4	5/23/23	Recommendation requires further discussion		open
R22	SEC 10.11.10 Administrative Information: Consider moving the following language (pulled from the first draft of the Policy Section): "The Department recognizes that BWC images have a limited field of view. Video recordings captured by a BWC provide a limited perspective and do not necessarily reflect the experience or state of mind of the individual member wearing the BWC in a given incident."	p. 9	5/23/23	Recommendation requires further discussion		open
R23	10.11.05(A) WG member suggested deleting "must make a reasonable effort to" and replace with all members must activate" and suggested using language similar to the Albuquerque PD BWC policy section 2-8-5	p.4	6/6/23		The Department wants to ensure there is a safety concern/safety exception in this language. The WG agreed to the following language: All members (not just the primary unit) dispatched, present, or otherwise participating in any of the below listed incidents must activate their BWC except during emergency encounters that require immediate action to preserve life or safety	closed
R24	10.11.05A. Add "issuing citation when driver is not present"	p. 4	6/6/23	Recommendation has been included in draft DGO	Dept added to the list	closed
R25	10.11.05A12. Remove 12."Any time members determine it would be beneficial to capture a law enforcement incident or activity" as this is discretionary	p. 5	6/6/23	Recommendation has been included in draft DGO	The WG agreed to delete this section	closed
R26	10.11.05C- remove this section as it is discretionary	p. 5		Recommendation has been included in draft DGO	The WG agreed to delete this section	closed
R27	Remove 10.11.05E: F.E. Members must ensure the BWC is in the On Position and in Buffering Mode after leaving a police, detention, or medical facility."	p. 5	6/6/23	Recommendation has been included in draft DGO	The WG agreed to delete this section	closed
R28	Move Members must ensure the BWC is in the On Position and in Buffering Mode after leaving a police, detention, or medical facility. " to the first sentence in 10.11.05(A)	p. 4	6/6/23	Recommendation	10.11.05(A) now reads: "Members must ensure the BWC is in the On Position and in Buffering Mode after leaving a police, detention, or medical facility. All members (not just the primary unit) dispatched, present, or otherwise participating in any of the below listed incidents must make a reasonable effort to activate their BWC except during emergency encounters that require immediate action to preserve life or safety: "	closed
R29	10.11.06(A)(5)- change the language to "Members on a guard assignment at a police, medical, psychiatric, jail or detention facility unless a mandatory recording circumstance occurs."	p. 5		Recommendation has been included in draft DGO		closed

#	Working Group Recommendations	pg. number	Meeting Date	SFPD response	SFPD explanation	Open/Closed
R30	Delete 10.11.06(A)(7) "Member to member training (e.g., when a Field Training Officer or Field Training Supervisor wishes to speak to a member enrolled in the Field Training Program about a training issue);"	p. 6	6/6/23	Recommendation has been included in draft DGO		closed
R31	Revise 10.11.06(B)(4) to read more clearly.	p. 6	6/6/23	Recommendation has been included in draft DGO	10.11.06(B)(4) now reads as follows: "When directed by a supervisor, members shall document the supervisor's order and reason in an incident report and/or CAD update."	closed
R32	Delete 10.11.06(A)(8) "Personnel or supervisor discussion that involve police tactics or strategy, provided that the strategy discussion is not conducted in the immediate presence of a member of the public, and further provided that the BWC equipped officer is not actively engaged in the collection of physical evidence (e.g., conducting a search)."	p. 6	6/6/23	Recommendation has been included in draft DGO	deleted	closed
R33	Delete "public safety stamenets" from 10.11.06(B)(2) so it reads as follows" "the BWC shall not be activated"During compelled statements such as administrative interviews (e.g. Internal Affairs (IA) or Department of Police Accountability (DPA) related interviews)"	p. 6	6/6/23	Recommendation requires further discussion	The Department takes public safety statements. Revisit this topic for further discussion.	open